

1 CRAIG H. MISSAKIAN (CABN 125202)  
United States Attorney

2 MARTHA BOERSCH (CABN 126569)  
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 ABRAHAM FINE (CABN 292647)  
MOLLY PRIEDEMAN (CABN 302096)  
5 LLOYD FARNHAM (CABN 202231)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

6 1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S  
7 Oakland, California 94612  
Telephone: (510) 637-3717  
8 FAX: (510) 637-3724  
Abraham.fine@usdoj.gov  
9 Molly.priedeman@usdoj.gov  
Lloyd.farnham@usdoj.gov

10 Attorneys for United States of America

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
13 OAKLAND DIVISION  
14

15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.  
18

19 SHENG THAO,  
ANDRE JONES,  
20 DAVID TRUNG DUONG, and  
21 ANDY HUNG DUONG,

22 Defendants.

Case No. 25-CR-0003-YGR

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO  
CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE FROM  
JANUARY 28, 2026, TO FEBRUARY 4, 2026,  
AND TO EXCLUDE TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY  
TRIAL ACT THROUGH OCTOBER 19, 2026

At the status conference held on November 20, 2025, the Court set a trial date in the above-captioned case for October 19, 2026, with jury selection to commence the week before. The Court also set a scheduling conference for January 28, 2026, and ordered the parties to file proposed case schedules by January 14, 2026. Two of government counsel, however, are unavailable on January 28, 2026. Accordingly, all parties hereby stipulate and agree to continue the scheduling conference to February 4, 2026, at 10:00am and to file proposed case schedules by January 21, 2026.

At the November 20, 2025, status conference the Court also excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act through January 28, 2026, and requested that the parties file a written stipulation and proposed order excluding time through the trial date based on the need for effective preparation as well as the complexity of the case. Accordingly, the government and counsel for all defendants agree that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act so that defense counsel can continue to prepare, including by reviewing significant amount of discovery already produced. For this reason and as further stated on the record at the status conference, the parties stipulate and agree that excluding time until October 19, 2026, will allow for the effective preparation of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties further stipulate and agree that this case is complex within the meaning of the Speedy Trial Act due to the number of defendants and the significant amount of discovery in the case. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). The parties further stipulate and agree that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from January 28, 2026, through October 19, 2026, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv).

The undersigned Assistant United States Attorneys certify that they have obtained approval from counsel for the defendants to file this stipulation and proposed order.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED**

CRAIG H. MISSAKIAN  
United States Attorney

Dated: January 12, 2026

/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
ABRAHAM FINE  
MOLLY K. PRIEDEMAN  
LLOYD FARNHAM  
Assistant United States Attorneys

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY TSAI  
DARRYL TARVER  
Counsel for Defendant Sheng Thao

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK GOLDROSEN  
SHAWN HALBERT  
Counsel for Defendant Andre Jones

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
ED SWANSON  
AUGUST GUGELMANN  
NEAL STEPHENS  
JEFFREY SCHENK  
Counsel for Defendant David Duong

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
WINSTON CHAN  
DOGULAS SPRAGUE  
ERIK BABCOCK  
Counsel for Defendant Andy Duong

\_\_\_\_\_

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

The January 28, 2026, scheduling conference is hereby continued to February 4, 2026, at 10:00am. The parties shall file proposed case schedules by January 21, 2026.

Furthermore, based upon the facts set forth in the stipulation of the parties and the representations made to the Court on November 20, 2025, and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time from January 28, 2026, through October 19, 2026, would unreasonably deny defense counsel and the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The Court further finds that this case is complex within the meaning of the Speedy Trial Act due to the number of defendants and the significant amount of discovery in the case. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time from January 28, 2026, to October 19, 2026, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. Therefore, and with the consent of the parties, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time from January 28, 2026, through October 19, 2026, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii), (B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
United States District Judge